



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6382
Title of the Manuscript:	ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY AND FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA
Type of the Article	EMPIRICAL

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper fails to address the meaning of entrepreneurial activity. In the literature review, there is no link between entrepreneurial activity and financial development. The author focuses on the determinants of financial development rather than the relationship between entrepreneurial activity and financial development in Africa. When citing authority (authors) in the first instance, the author should list the names of all the authors and not using et al, subsequently he can stick to et al. In the methodology, the author should write the model in full. Is this the author's model or he adopted the model from previous study? Please state it. There is no theoretical framework guiding the model. There is no theoretical contribution that connect entrepreneurial activity and financial development in Africa, so that the practical contribution should be significant. What type of sampling technique did you apply in selecting these 12 African countries? Please state it and justify the choice for it. The author should cross his T's and dot his I's The references are inconsistent. The author should adopt the APA 6th edition or adopt the referencing style of SCIENCEDOMAIN international. The data used should be captured as appendix(ices) as the case may be and should be part of the paper.	1. Entrepreneurship has replaced entrepreneurial activity and it has been defined in page 3. Its proxy has provided in page under methodology section. 2. Section 2.1 The Entrepreneurship-Finance Nexus: The Theoretical Foundations in page 2 provides the theoretical link between entrepreneurship and finance 3. The use of SDI referencing style has eliminated this problem 4. The source of the model has been acknowledge under the methodology section in page 3 5. Section 2.1 The Entrepreneurship-Finance Nexus: The Theoretical Foundations in page 2 provides the theoretical link between entrepreneurship and finance 6. Purposive sampling technique has been used and this has been stated in section 3.3 Data 7. We have followed the SDI referencing style. See pages 7-10



SDI Review Form 1.6

	This will enable us to verify the data used and to find out if the data are reliable. The author should give us the output of the data as well as the inputs.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		